

Compute × Power Trading

Thirteen-tab synthesis dashboard for the compute-driven power complex.

Section-by-section feature guide.

Daniel Kaufman · June 2026 · Research and education — not investment advice.

Contents

- 01 — Market State
- 02 — Compute Factors (conversion stack, spark spread, regional pipeline, lease curve)
- 03 — Peak / Off-Peak / 24×7 (shape decision)
- 04 — Transmission & FTR
- 05 — Options Lab (Black-76, bullet vs monthlies, Asian, vega-neutral sizer)
- 06 — Trade Builder (A1, A2, P1, P2, P3, B3 + scenario tester)
- 07 — Margin (ICE / Nodal)
- 08 — NG Seasonality (UNG vs NG Jan27 options)
- 09 — Index Dispersion (SD vs OCPI factor decomposition)
- 10 — Compute Heat Map (physical compute × power)
- 11 — Peak/Off-Peak Convergence
- 12 — Take-or-Pay vs Spot
- 13 — Data & Method

Market State

What it does

Top-of-dashboard reference. Six KPIs anchor the conversation: PJM wholesale price Q1-26 (\$136.53/MWh, +76% y/y, 63% data-center attributed), PJM 26/27 capacity (\$329.17/MW-d at the FERC cap, vs \$28.92 in 24/25), Henry Hub spot, the SDB200RT Mar-26 close (5.48), illustrative PJM-W RT Peak forwards for Jul/Aug-26, and the NoVa pipeline-to-inventory tightness ratio (16.8 GW / 4.0 GW = 4.2x). Two cards: a Silicon Data Q1-26 index time-series chart and a 'Why power is the compute trade today' table.

How to use it

- Use as the daily landing tab — these KPIs are the universe-state summary.
- Distinguish PRINT (dated, sourced) from INDICATIVE (yellow input, re-mark required) at a glance via the pill colors.
- The Silicon Data chart anchors the SDB200RT path — note the March squeeze from 4.40 to 6.11 and the partial mean-reversion to 5.48.
- The 'Why power' table is the elevator pitch for the rest of the dashboard.

The underlying math / data

- Published prints: PJM wholesale Q1-26 from PJM Monitoring Analytics; capacity from the FERC-capped 26/27 BRA result; HH from EIA; Silicon Data indices from SiliconNavigator + SiliconIndex.
- Illustrative forwards: PJM-W peak Jul-26 / Aug-26 shown at \$95 / \$108 — re-mark against actual ICE/Nodal quotes before any trading decision.

Reading the signal

When the PRINT KPIs move materially day-over-day, the INDICATIVE forwards likely need re-marking too — they're set against a stale snapshot. Treat the PRINT row as ground truth and the INDICATIVE row as a planning starting point.

Compute Factors

What it does

The conversion stack — chips, racks, PUE, utilization → grid MW — plus the spark-spread calculator and the regional pipeline → power demand visualization. Also includes the C1 lease-rate term-structure solver. Two columns: left has the chip-to-MW + spark spread; right has the regional pipeline chart, the basis-grid table, and the lease-curve solver.

How to use it

- Start in the conversion stack — pick chip class, GPU count, PUE, utilization. The output shows IT load, post-PUE grid MW, annual GWh.
- Move to the spark-spread calculator immediately below — set GPU rental price and host power price, see the energy share of revenue.
- Use the regional pipeline chart to see which zones absorb the biggest builds (NoVa, ERCOT N).
- The lease-curve C1 solver derives implied depreciation from spot/1-yr/3-yr lease stack — flag mispricing vs realistic obsolescence (~30%/yr in \$/FLOP terms).

The underlying math / data

- Conversion: $IT\ load = chip\ TDP \times GPU\ count$; $facility = IT \times PUE$; $grid\ MW = facility / 1000$.
- Spark spread = GPU rental – (kWh per GPU-hr / 1000 × power \$/MWh).
- Chip TDPs (all-in rack basis): H100 1.4 kW, H200 1.6, B200 2.0, GB200 NVL72 1.67 (120 kW / 72 GPUs).
- Lease-curve solver: $implied\ annual\ depreciation = (spot - 1yr_rate) / spot$.

Reading the signal

The spark spread is fat (~4-6% energy at typical Blackwell rentals). Variance comes from the compute leg, not the energy leg. The lease curve's implied depreciation should track realistic obsolescence — when it collapses below 20%/yr, the term market is paying holders to lock supply (a scarcity signal).

Peak / Off-Peak / 24x7

What it does

Shape decision tool. Inputs: a fixed 24x7 block price, peak price, off-peak price, and a peak-hour fraction. The dashboard computes the implied 24x7 cost via the peak/off-peak weighted blend and compares to the fixed 24x7 offer. Output: which shape is cheaper, by how much. Also includes a 'Load Shape: DC vs System' chart that visualizes the divergence between flat DC load and system peak/off-peak demand.

How to use it

- Set your zone's peak and off-peak forwards.
- Set the fixed 24x7 quote from your counterparty.
- Adjust peak fraction to the actual peak-hour count for the contract month (typically $16/24 \times 5/7 \approx 47.6\%$ for NERC peak).
- Read the recommendation — buy the cheaper shape, sell the richer if you're the supplier side.

The underlying math / data

- Implied 24x7 = $\text{peak} \times \text{peakFrac} + \text{offPeak} \times (1 - \text{peakFrac})$.
- Savings = fixed 24x7 quote – implied 24x7. Positive = blend is cheaper; negative = fixed is cheaper.
- Peak hour count varies by month — adjust for federal holidays.

Reading the signal

A DC operator with flat 24x7 load wants the cheapest 24x7 shape. When the fixed 24x7 quote is rich vs the peak/off-peak blend, replicate via two contracts instead. The chart underneath shows why the dispersion exists — DC load is flat, system load has a daily curve, and the off-peak hours undercut the average.

Transmission & FTR

What it does

The transmission constraints that price the compute corridors. A table of \$/MWh basis costs by corridor (DOM ↔ West, ComEd ↔ West, AEP ↔ West, etc.). Below it, a Tightness Index chart and an FTR/CRR position calculator. Also includes the A1 queue-to-basis signal model — how to read the public PJM/ERCOT queue and convert it to basis exposure.

How to use it

- Start with the corridor table — identify which corridors are paying the highest basis.
- Use the FTR calculator to size a position based on MW and target P&L; per MW.
- The A1 queue-to-basis signal: high pipeline-to-installed ratio + low expected curve lift = basis underpriced.
- Cross-reference against the heat map (Tab 10) for the geographic context.

The underlying math / data

- Basis = downstream zone LMP – reference hub LMP.
- FTR settlement = $MW \times (\Delta \text{basis} - \text{auction price})$, accrued hourly.
- Queue signal: A1 score = pipeline MW / installed capacity, weighted by lead time to COD.

Reading the signal

DOM-West, AEP-West, and ERCOT North-Houston are the cleanest compute-driven basis trades. When the corridor cost in the table exceeds the FTR auction-clearing price by more than the calculator's break-even, that's an actionable long. Use the queue signal to confirm the build is real and dated.

Options Lab

What it does

Four interactive option calculators in one tab. (1) Black-76 pricer for monthly options on power futures — the building block. (2) Bullet vs monthlies dispersion (Trade P1): implied correlation calculator for buying monthlies and selling the bullet. (3) Daily vs monthly Asian convexity (Trade P2): the Jensen wedge between daily strikes and the monthly average. (4) Vega-neutral package sizer — automates the dollar-vega match across two legs.

How to use it

- Use the Black-76 pricer to anchor any individual leg before assembling the package.
- For P1: enter monthly vols + summer bullet vol, see the implied cross-month correlation. ρ above 0.85 is rich (sell bullet, buy monthlies); below 0.55 is cheap (other direction).
- For P2: enter daily vol + monthly Asian vol, see the Jensen convexity gap. Sell daily call spreads / buy monthly straddles when the gap exceeds 2x fair value.
- Vega-neutral sizer: balances the long-vol and short-vol legs to net zero dollar vega — pure dispersion exposure.

The underlying math / data

- Black-76: $C = e^{-rT} [F \times N(d1) - K \times N(d2)]$, with $d1 = (\ln(F/K) + 0.5\sigma^2T) / (\sigma\sqrt{T})$.
- Implied ρ from bullet vol: $\sigma_{\text{bullet}}^2 = \sum w_i^2 \times \sigma_i^2 + \sum w_i \times w_j \times \rho \times \sigma_i \times \sigma_j$.
- Asian convexity: $\sigma_{\text{asian}} \approx \sigma_{\text{daily}} / \sqrt{N/3}$ for daily samples averaged to monthly.
- Vega-neutral: $\text{size}_2 = \text{size}_1 \times (\text{vega}_1 / \text{vega}_2)$.

Reading the signal

The cleanest single trade in power options is the bullet-vs-monthlies dispersion (P1) — almost always available, structurally short cross-month correlation. The Asian convexity (P2) is more episodic but pays out fast when realized vol diverges from the implied. Both isolate vol-of-vol from level vol — pure realized-correlation trades.

Trade Builder

What it does

Six trade structure cards (A1, A2, P1, P2, P3, B3) with each leg, sizing, and economics laid out, plus a scenario tester that lets you stress the portfolio under a chosen market path. The structures span power basis (A1, A2), vol dispersion (P1, P2, P3), and the compute spark spread (B3, at-listing).

How to use it

- Use the six cards as a structured trade catalog — copy the leg specs into a paper trade or live execution.
- The scenario tester runs the chosen structure under a market path you set: forward shift, vol shift, basis shock.
- A1 (long DOM-zone basis) is the highest-conviction Today trade — clean expression of compute supply curve via power forwards.
- P1 / P2 / P3 are the vol-dispersion trades — applicable as soon as the relevant options markets show width.
- B3 is parked until compute futures list; it's the at-listing version of the spark spread.

The underlying math / data

- Each card lists: legs (long/short), sizing ($MW \times \$/MW \times \text{tenor}$), expected payoff, primary risk.
- Scenario tester: $\Delta P\&L; = \Sigma \text{ leg payoff under the scenario path}$. Vol shock and basis shock applied independently.

Reading the signal

The Trade Builder is the synthesis layer — it takes the analytics from Tabs 1-5 and gives you executable structures. Read the structure cards top-to-bottom in conviction order; A1 is the modal trade; P-trades are the vol overlay; B3 is the destination.

Margin (ICE / Nodal)

What it does

Indicative initial-margin reference table for the contracts in scope (PJM-W RT Peak future, ERCOT North future, monthly options, daily option strips, FTRs) by venue, plus a portfolio-offset slider panel and a strategy margin calculator that prices the gross-to-net journey on a selected structure.

How to use it

- Use the reference table to anchor expectations — \$5-9k IM for futures, ~1.3-1.6x that for short options.
- Adjust the portfolio offset sliders to your venue's actual credits (Nodal expected-shortfall, ICE inter-month spreads, cross-hub haircuts).
- The strategy margin calculator runs the gross-to-net flow on a chosen structure — long straddle vs short bullet, daily call spreads vs monthly, etc.
- The cross-CCP line is the warning: margining the same package at Nodal AND ICE doubles your IM with zero offset benefit.

The underlying math / data

- Gross IM = Σ short-leg IM + futures IM. (Long options consume premium, not IM.)
- Net IM = Gross \times (1 – portfolio offset).
- Nodal expected-shortfall offsets are scenario-based; ICE uses spread credits per pair.
- Cross-CCP offset = 0 always.

Reading the signal

Consolidate venues where the dealer pricing is competitive — the margin savings from a single CCP often exceed the spread you'd pay for the better-priced execution at the second venue. Hold cash $\geq 2\times$ net IM: vol-spike margin calls arrive the same morning as the P&L; event.

NG Seasonality (UNG vs NG Jan27 options)

What it does

The cross-product trade: UNG (front-month NG ETF) options vs NG Jan27 futures options. Multiple strike-mapping conventions (spot-ATM, seasonal-equivalent, time-weighted, hybrid), two variants (long convexity via calls, short tail via puts), and a terminal-payoff chart plus a P&L-through-the-life; chart. The point: capture the seasonal risk premium between front and winter via the options curve.

How to use it

- Pick a strike-mapping convention — start with 'hybrid' for the best wedge on puts.
- Choose variant A (long calls UNG / short calls NG Jan27) for long convexity, or B (short puts UNG / long puts NG Jan27) for short tail + carry collection.
- Adjust the terminal-front-price slider to see the payoff distribution; set curve mode to 'collapse' for the worst-case UNG drag scenario.
- Read the P&L-through-life; chart — find where the trough is and size to survive it, not the endpoint.

The underlying math / data

- UNG path simulated through 6 monthly rolls with 1.17% expense drag.
- Entry curve shape: 3.26 / 3.30 / 3.33 / 3.40 / 3.65 / 3.95 / 4.10 (indicative — re-mark).
- Strike mapping options encode the wedge between front-ATM and gas-equivalent strikes.
- Margin: UNG legs at OCC, NG legs at CME SPAN — no cross-margin.

Reading the signal

Roll drag is NOT in UNG option prices (risk-neutral drift = r). This is a position on the curve's seasonal risk premium, not an arbitrage. Variant A's trough is weeks 8-16 (theta paid before the winter window); Variant B's trough is early December. Size to survive the trough, not the endpoint.

Index Dispersion (SD vs OCPI)

What it does

Factor decomposition for the SD – OCPI spread, the compute-index basis. Six factor sliders (A: quotes vs prints; B: hardware/SKU mix; D: tier/SLA mix; E: physical/regional weighting; F+G: forward-vs-spot + Asian convexity; A': latency/timing). Each contributes a basis-point amount to the modeled spread; observed spread minus modeled = your residual edge.

How to use it

- Enter the observed SD–OCPI gap (live).
- Adjust the six sliders to your view on each factor's contribution.
- Residual = observed – modeled. Large residual = either a missing factor or your edge.
- Watch the waterfall chart on the right for the visual breakdown.

The underlying math / data

- SD = quote-based assessment; OCPI = transaction VWAP, regionally weighted.
- Each factor contribution in % of reference index level.
- Factor D (tier/SLA): hyperscaler index runs ~3.0x neocloud — the largest single structural component.
- Factor B (SKU): OCPI samples RTX + A100; SD does not. Material in markets where prosumer rentals dominate the print.

Reading the signal

The two structural drivers are D (tier) and B (SKU). Both become knowable the moment CME publishes the SD methodology in detail. Until then, the residual term is where the edge sits — model the band before launch and you quote the spread; everyone else discovers it.

Compute Heat Map

What it does

Geographic visualization of the basis grid. Eight DC clusters (NoVa, DFW, Columbus, Atlanta, Chicago, Phoenix, Santa Clara, plus Houston as a power node) plotted by approximate geography, with bubble size = operational MW and bubble color = power state (red largest shock, orange scarcity, amber cheap-but-congesting, green liquid, grey bilateral). Blue diamonds overlay the actual power trading nodes that price each cluster.

How to use it

- Hover any bubble for the underlying MW and pipeline figures.
- Cross-reference bubble color (load state) with diamond proximity (trading node) — the diamond is your execution venue for the cluster's basis.
- Use as the geographic context for any trade idea — every position lives in a specific bubble + diamond pair.
- Red bubbles (NoVa, DFW) are the modal long-basis trades; grey (Atlanta) are bilateral and harder to access.

The underlying math / data

- Bubble radius proportional to $\sqrt{\text{MW}}$ (so visual area scales with MW).
- MW figures from JLL/CBRE/Cushman & Wakefield Q1-26 reports.
- Power state classification: based on Q1-26 wholesale + capacity print signatures.
- Schematic geography — not a survey map; positions approximate.

Reading the signal

A power shock in a heavily-weighted cluster (NoVa, DFW) moves OCPI (regionally weighted) while SD (composite) lags, and the same shock prices that cluster's blue-diamond power node. One event → OCPI basis + power-node basis + operator spark spread, all correlated. The heat map is the picture of that correlation structure.

Peak/Off-Peak Convergence

What it does

Models what happens to the on-peak/off-peak price differential as flat data-center load fills the demand stack. Inputs: system peak GW, system off-peak GW, flat DC block GW, stack knee, slope above/below, scarcity cap. Output: implied peak and off-peak prices after the DC block is added, and the implied convergence ratio.

How to use it

- Set the system peak and off-peak demand for your zone.
- Add a flat DC block size — typically 4-10 GW for a NoVa-style zone.
- Adjust the stack knee (where the supply curve steepens) and slopes above/below.
- The convergence ratio output tells you how much the peak/off-peak differential compresses.

The underlying math / data

- Off-peak price = $\max(\text{slope_below} \times \text{off-peak demand}, 0)$.
- Peak price = $\min(\text{scarcity cap}, \text{slope_above} \times (\text{peak demand} - \text{knee}) + \text{base})$.
- DC block adds flat MW across both hours, pushing off-peak demand into the slope-above region first.
- Convergence ratio = $(\text{peak} - \text{off-peak}) / \text{peak}$; compresses as DC load lifts the off-peak baseline.

Reading the signal

Flat DC load mathematically compresses the peak/off-peak ratio because it shifts the off-peak demand into the steeper part of the supply stack first. Zones with the highest expected DC load growth (NoVa, DFW) will see the largest peak/off-peak ratio compression — that's a tradeable view on the shape (Tab 3) before it materializes.

Take-or-Pay vs Spot

What it does

Capacity decision optimizer for DC operators choosing between a multi-year take-or-pay power contract and rolling spot purchases. Inputs: capacity MW, term length, utilization, ToP fixed price, spot mean, spot vol, embedded cancellation option value. Output: total ToP cost vs spot expected cost $\pm 1\sigma$ band, recommendation, plus a term-cost-path chart showing the cumulative cost trajectory under both options.

How to use it

- Set capacity and term to match your build.
- Use the published ToP rate as your reference (typically 7-15% premium to current spot).
- Set spot mean to your zone's forward curve and vol to your view (25% is a calibrated default for compute-heavy zones).
- Critical: assign a value to the cancellation option. Even \$2-5 / MWh changes the recommendation.
- Read the recommendation: ToP strongly preferred when ToP total is more than 1σ below spot expected.

The underlying math / data

- Annual MWh = capacity \times utilization \times 8760.
- ToP total = (annual MWh \times ToP price – annual MWh \times option value) \times term.
- Spot expected total = annual MWh \times spot mean \times term.
- Spot term 1σ = annual std $\times \sqrt{\text{term}}$ (sqrt-of-time scaling).

Reading the signal

Cancellation clauses are puts on power rates; expansion rights are calls; take-or-pay floors with usage flexibility are swing options (gas-trading technology, directly portable). These embedded options are systematically given away free in current capacity negotiations because neither side prices them. A desk with the option toolkit extracts real value structuring around counterparty optionality.

Data & Method

What it does

Provenance ledger and methodology disclosure. Lists every PRINT (dated, sourced) and INDICATIVE (proxy) input across the dashboard, with its source, scrape date, and re-mark cadence. Plus the methodology notes for the trickier calculations: Asian convexity approximation, implied correlation from bullet vol, queue-to-basis signal model, factor decomposition for SD-OCPI.

How to use it

- Reference this tab whenever you're about to act on a number — verify the print is current.
- Methodology notes are the audit trail. If a calculator's output surprises you, the math is here.
- Re-mark cadences are guidance — scrape sources more frequently than indicated when markets move.
- Use as the spec doc when porting to a production environment.

The underlying math / data

- Power references: PJM Monitoring Analytics, ERCOT MIS, ICE / Nodal settlement files.
- Compute references: SiliconNavigator, SiliconIndex, Ornn (OCPI), Bloomberg.
- Pipeline references: JLL year-end 2025, Cushman & Wakefield H2-25, Avison Young Q1-26.
- Margin references: Nodal margin calculator, ICE margin tool, FCM-supplied IM runs.

Reading the signal

Treat this tab as the contract between the dashboard and the user. Every other tab depends on these inputs being accurate; the disclosure is what lets you trust the outputs. When in doubt about a number, start here.

END NOTES

Compliance and operating notes

The dashboard is a modeling tool, not market data. Every yellow input field and every 'indicative' level is a placeholder or a clearly-labeled proxy. Scraped provider levels (OCPI, Silicon Data) are dated and will go stale. Have the disclaimer language reviewed by counsel for your jurisdiction before going live as public-facing content. No analytics or tracking are included in the dashboard; the only browser storage is for last-viewed tab and input choices via localStorage — nothing is sent anywhere.

Going live with real data

Power side: an ISO feed toolkit replaces the basis, shape, and convergence proxies with PJM/ERCOT LMPs, load, and queues. Compute side: licensing Silicon Data and Ornn APIs replaces the index levels, the SD–OCPI spread, and the regional-weight proxy. Wire either into the relevant tab's input defaults (or fetch on load) and the signals go live.

Daniel Kaufman · Kinetic Alpha

kineticalpha.com/projects/compute-power-trading

dkaufmanrisk@gmail.com

Research and education only. The dashboard's indicative inputs are placeholders; production deployment requires live feed integration and counsel review. Not investment advice.